



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/710,362

07/04/2004

Eric Schneider

93767

4361

22242 7590 05/12/2010
FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY
120 SOUTH LASALLE STREET
SUITE 1600
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406

EXAMINER

VOSTAL, ONDREJ C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2453

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/12/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/710,362	Applicant(s) SCHNEIDER, ERIC	
	Examiner O. C. Vostal	Art Unit 2453	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-23 presented for examination.
2. This action is in response to remarks and arguments filed on February 10, 2010, after non-final rejection of application 10/710362. Application filed on July 4, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.01.
5. Claim 18 recites "an article of manufacture including a computer readable storage medium having instructions stored thereon that, upon execution by a computing device"; however, the present specification [0062] is silent regarding a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium and the broadest reasonable interpretation of an unsupported recited medium is that the medium includes a signal, a carrier wave or other transport mechanism. Such a broad description suggests a medium that does not fall into the statutory categories of "process", "machine", "manufacture" and "composition of matter". .

Art Unit: 2453

Claim 19 also fails to recite any language that clearly signify that the recited "a computer-readable storage medium" is tangibly embodiment, and not a transitory signal, per se, and are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

The rejection above can be overcome by simply amending the respective claims to recite a "non-transitory" computer-readable storage medium, instead.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-3, 7-9, 13, 14, 17, 18, 20, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broadhurst, US Patent Number 6,560,634 B1, and in views of Hatakeyama et al., US Patent Number 5,454,105 A, hereinafter Hatakeyama.
8. Regarding claim 1, Broadhurst discloses a method comprising:
receiving, at a computing device, one or more identifiers and one or more data request types (Broadhurst; col 1 lines 35-44, col 1 lines 65-67, col 2 lines 1-15 and 32-40, col 5 lines 28-34);

Art Unit: 2453

generating and performing a first data query from at least one source identified by said one or more identifiers and having a data type associated with a first data request type of said one or more data request types (Broadhurst; col 3 lines 1-4 and 48-50 col 4 line 1 and 55-57); and, retrieving at least one first result from the at least one source in response to said first data query (Broadhurst col 5 lines 20-25 and 27-30);

Broadhurst does not disclose steps of generating, retrieving and automatically generated, but in a similar field of endeavor Hatakeyama disclose:

generating and performing a second data query derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request type of said one or more data query types, wherein said second data request type is of a type different from said first data request type (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 62-67 and col 3 lines 15-51: The examiner considers “a new query” is similar to generating and performing a second data query, considers “search requests together with the identifiers of the terminals” is similar to derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request type, considers “search requests registered” and “registering therein” is similar to first data request type, considers “computer” is similar to second data request type. [EN]: It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize that being “registered” by Broadhurst and referred to by Hatakeyama is a different data request type than “computer” data request type.); and,

Art Unit: 2453

retrieving at least one second result from the at least one source in response to said second data query (Hatakeyama; fig 2 and col 3 lines 1-10: The examiner considers “allotment of results” in fig 2 is similar to retrieving at least one second result.).

wherein said second data query is automatically generated based on said first data query to select said at least one second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said first data query (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 62-67 and col 3 lines 15-51: The examiner considers “a new query” and/or “computer” are similar to a second data query is automatically generated, considers “search requests together with the identifiers of the terminals” is similar to based on said first data query to select said at least one second result, considers “search requests registered” and “registering therein” is similar to first data request type.

[EN]: It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize that being “registered” by Broadhurst and referred to by Hatakeyama which has identifier of the terminals is similar to a result having content associated with, but not identified by.).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst’s system that provides the user “an improved query server”

Art Unit: 2453

(Broadhurst) that provides “searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user” (Broadhurst) with the features of Hatakeyama’s system for “a document information (data) search or retrieving” (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 1-10).

The motivation being “performing a multitude of searches simultaneously, transparent to the user” (Broadhurst) , “a query for registered domain names in multiple countries” (Broadhurst) and “eliminating the need for a user to perform individual searches” (Broadhurst) by removing “separate search requests to each domain” (Broadhurst) which includes “search requests can be disposed of with higher efficiency” (Hatakeyama) and includes upon connection, request to register and followed by various requests... allows for smooth internet surfing.

9. Regarding claim 2, Broadhurst discloses further comprising at least one of a generating and parsing said one or more identifiers and said one or more data request types from at least one input source (Broadhurst; col 5 lines 24-28).
10. Regarding claim 3, Broadhurst discloses wherein said at least one input source is from at least one of a data file, internet content, audio signal, closed caption text, activation of a hyperlink, network resource redirection, autosearch, resource identifier, and user interface device (Broadhurst; col 4 lines 23-28).

.

11. Regarding claim 7, Broadhurst discloses further comprising presenting said at least one second result from said second data query (Broadhurst; col 6 lines 15-25) either one of a before, during, and after presenting said at least one first result from said first data request (Broadhurst; col 6 lines 38-44).
12. Regarding claim 8, Broadhurst discloses further comprising generating and performing at least one additional data query based on said one or more identifiers and said one or more of data request types (Broadhurst; col 5 lines 27-30 and 45-60 and col 6 lines 10-14), and retrieving at least one additional result corresponding to said at least one additional data query (Broadhurst; col 6 lines 15-25).
13. Regarding claim 9, Broadhurst discloses further comprising presenting said additional results from said at least one additional data query (Broadhurst; col 6 lines 15-25) either one of a before, during, and after presenting said at least one first result from said first data request (Broadhurst; col 6 lines 38-44).
14. Regarding claim 13, Broadhurst discloses further comprising returning from at least one registration server an address or a resource corresponding to at least one identifier of said one or more identifiers (Broadhurst; col 4 lines 42-50).

Art Unit: 2453

15. Regarding claim 14, Broadhurst discloses wherein said at least one registration server is selected from a group consisting of one or more a domain name system, a fictitious domain name system, a multilingual naming system, a keyword system, a telephone naming and numbering system, a user naming system, an address naming system, a catalog naming system, a document naming system, a resource naming system, an image naming system, a geographic naming system, a government naming system, a motor vehicle identifier naming system, and an identification naming system (Broadhurst; col 2 lines 42-55 and col 4 lines 35-67).
16. Regarding claim 17, Broadhurst discloses a device comprising:
 - a processor (col 3 lines 66-67, Broadhurst);
 - a memory in operative association with said processor (col 3 lines 66-67 and col 4 lines 1-5, Broadhurst);
 - said processor being adapted to receive one or more identifiers and one or more data request types (Broadhurst; col 1 lines 35-44, col 1 lines 65-67, col 2 lines 1-15 and 32-40, col 5 lines 28-34 and col 7 lines 20-25);
 - said processor being adapted to generate and perform a first data query from at least one source identified by said one or more identifiers and having a data type associated with a first data request type of said one or more data request types (Broadhurst; col 3 lines 1-4 and 48-50 col 4 line 1 and 55-57); and,

Art Unit: 2453

said processor being adapted to retrieve at least one first result from the at least one source in response to said first data query (Broadhurst; col 5 lines 20-25 and 27-30);

Broadhurst does not disclose steps of generating, retrieving and automatically generated, but in a similar field of endeavor Hatakeyama disclose:

said processor being adapted to generate and perform a second data query derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request type of said one or more data request types, wherein said second data request type is of a type different from said first data request type (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 62-67 and col 3 lines 15-51: The examiner considers “a new query” is similar to generating and performing a second data query, considers “search requests together with the identifiers of the terminals” is similar to derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request type, considers “search requests registered” and “registering therein” is similar to first data request type, considers “computer” is similar to second data request type. [EN]: It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize that being “registered” by Broadhurst and referred to by Hatakeyama is a different data request type than “computer” data request type.) and,

said processor being adapted to retrieve at least one second result from the at least one source in response to said second data query (Hatakeyama; fig

2 and col 3 lines 1-10: The examiner considers “allotment of results” in fig 2 is similar to retrieving at least one second result.).

wherein said second data query is automatically generated based on said first data query to select said at least one second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said first data query (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 62-67 and col 3 lines 15-51: The examiner considers “a new query” and/or “computer” are similar to a second data query is automatically generated, considers “search requests together with the identifiers of the terminals” is similar to based on said first data query to select said at least one second result, considers “search requests registered” and “registering therein” is similar to first data request type. [EN]: It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize that being “registered” by Broadhurst and referred to by Hatakeyama which has identifier of the terminals is similar to a result having content associated with, but not identified by.)

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst’s system that provides the user “an improved query server” (Broadhurst) that provides “searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user” (Broadhurst) with the features

Art Unit: 2453

of Hatakeyama's system for "a document information (data) search or retrieving" (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 1-10).

The motivation being "performing a multitude of searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) , "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) and "eliminating the need for a user to perform individual searches" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "search requests can be disposed of with higher efficiency" (Hatakeyama) and includes upon connection, request to register and followed by various requests... allows for smooth internet surfing.

17. Regarding claim 18, Broadhurst discloses an article of manufacture including a computer program storage medium having instructions stored thereon that, upon execution by a computing device, cause the computing device to perform operations (Broadhurst; col 5 lines 2-5 and 65-67) comprising:
receiving one or more identifiers and one or more data request types
(Broadhurst; col 1 lines 35-44, col 1 lines 65-67, col 2 lines 1-15 and 32-40, col 5 lines 28-34),
generating and performing a first data query from at least one source identified by said one or more identifiers and having a data type associated with a

Art Unit: 2453

first data request type of said one or more data request types (Broadhurst; col 3 lines 1-4 and 48-50 col 4 line 1 and 55-57); and, retrieving at least one first result from the at least one source in response to said first data query (Broadhurst col 5 lines 20-25 and 27-30), Broadhurst does not disclose generating, retrieving and automatically generated, but in a similar field of endeavor Hatakeyama disclose: generating and performing a second data query derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request type of said one or more data query types, wherein said second data request type is of a type different from said first data request type (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 62-67 and col 3 lines 15-51: The examiner considers “a new query” is similar to generating and performing a second data query, considers “search requests together with the identifiers of the terminals” is similar to derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request type, considers “search requests registered” and “registering therein” is similar to first data request type, considers “computer” is similar to second data request type. [EN]: It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize that being “registered” by Broadhurst and referred to by Hatakeyama is a different data request type than “computer” data request type.); and, retrieving at least one second result from the at least one source in response to said second data query (Hatakeyama; fig 2 and col 3 lines 1-10: The

examiner considers “allotment of results” in fig 2 is similar to retrieving at least one second result.).

wherein said second data query is automatically generated based on said first data query to select said at least one second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said first data query (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 62-67 and col 3 lines 15-51: The examiner considers “a new query” and/or “computer” are similar to a second data query is automatically generated, considers “search requests together with the identifiers of the terminals” is similar to based on said first data query to select said at least one second result, considers “search requests registered” and “registering therein” is similar to first data request type. [EN]: It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize that being “registered” by Broadhurst and referred to by Hatakeyama which has identifier of the terminals is similar to a result having content associated with, but not identified by.).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst’s system that provides the user “an improved query server” (Broadhurst) that provides “searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user” (Broadhurst) with the features

Art Unit: 2453

of Hatakeyama's system for "a document information (data) search or retrieving" (Hatakeyama; col 2 lines 1-10).

The motivation being "performing a multitude of searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) , "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) and "eliminating the need for a user to perform individual searches" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "search requests can be disposed of with higher efficiency" (Hatakeyama) and includes upon connection, request to register and followed by various requests... allows for smooth internet surfing.

18. Regarding claim 20, Broadhurst does not disclose claim 20, but in a similar field of endeavor Hatakeyama disclose wherein said first data query comprises a content data string and said second data query is generated based on said content data string to select said at least one second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said content data string (Hatakeyama; fig 13: The examiner considers "text data" is similar to content data string.).
19. Regarding claim 21, Broadhurst discloses further comprising parsing said one or more identifiers and said one or more data request types from at least one input source, said at least one input source being received from a user interface device from a browser (Broadhurst; col 3 lines 25-35 and col 7 lines 15-23).

Art Unit: 2453

20. Regarding claim 23, Broadhurst discloses wherein said processor is adapted to parse said one or more identifiers and said one or more data request types from at least one input source, said at least one input source being received from a user interface device from a browser (Broadhurst; col 3 lines 25-35 and col 7 lines 15-23).
21. Claims 4-6, 10-12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broadhurst, US Patent Number 6,560,634 B1, and in views of Hatakeyama et al., US Patent Number 5,454,105 A, hereinafter Hatakeyama, as applied to claims 1-3 and 8 above, and further in views of Barry et al., US Patent Number 7,225,249 B1, hereinafter Barry.
22. Regarding claim 4, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 4, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose further comprising inputting said one or more identifiers and said one or more data request types into one of a browser location field, text box, command line, speech to text interface, optical recognition interface, and magnetic recognition interface (Barry; col 5 lines 13-18, col 31 lines 55-67 and col 57 lines 49-55).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying

Art Unit: 2453

Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

23. Regarding claim 5, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 5, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein said generating and parsing said one or more identifiers comprises employing one or more of a word generation method, category of interest, dictionary, thesaurus, prefix, suffix, word root, word stem, set of heuristic naming rules, namespace syntax, identifier equivalent, language translation, phonetic spelling, phonemes, identifier watch list, list of desirable descriptors, personal identifier portfolio, competitor identifier portfolio, mnemonic method, abbreviation, namespace mapping, identifier mapping,

Art Unit: 2453

delimiter mapping, rhyming method, name-to-number conversion, number-to-name conversion, and identifier history (Barry; col 3 lines 40-50) .

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

24. Regarding claim 6, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 6, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein said one or more data request types is selected from a group including a prefix request, a suffix request, a

Art Unit: 2453

command request, a resolution request, a redirection request, a search request, an identifier registration request, a commerce request, a subscription request, a navigation request, a dialing request, a messaging request, a conferencing request, a vendor request, a service request, a login request, a status request, an authorization request, and a reference request (Barry; col 31 lines 67, col 34 lines 18-21 and col 54 lines 55-59).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

25. Regarding claim 10, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 10, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein said at least one data query is performed by at least one service provider (Barry; col 8 lines 27-39).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

Art Unit: 2453

26. Regarding claim 11, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 11, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein said at least one service provider provides at least one of identifier registration services, search engine services, internet provider services, application services, information services, reference services, knowledge base services, web hosting services, publishing services, communication services, telecommunication services, incorporation services, trademark services, bookmark services, mapping services, image services, delivery services, messaging services, conferencing services, name resolution services, redirection services, registry services, renewal services, alert services, escrow and transfer services, valuation services, auction services and listing services (Barry; col 8 lines 27-39 and 60-67).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

Art Unit: 2453

The motivation being “a query for registered domain names in multiple countries” (Broadhurst) by removing “separate search requests to each domain” (Broadhurst) which includes “to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards” (Barry).

27. Regarding claim 12, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 12, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein each said one or more identifiers comprise at least one of a valid domain name, fictitious domain name, domain name having a top level domain alias (TLDA), multilingual domain name, phone number, keyword, Publisher Item Identifier (PII), Digital Object Identifier (DOI), Inter Deposit Digital Number (IDDN), International Standard Book Number (ISBN), International Standard Technical Report Number (ISRN), International Standard Serial Number (ISSN), Serial Item and Contribution Identifier (SICI), Book Item and Component Identifier (BICI), European Article Number (EAN), Universal Product Code (UPC), Standard Address Number (SAN), international Standard Audiovisual Number (ISAN), International Standard Work Code (ISWC), International Standard Music Number (ISMN), International Standard Recording Code (ISRC), Intellectual Property Identification (IPI), Uniform File Identifier (UFI), Uniform Resource Identifier (URI), Persistent Uniform Resource Locator (PURL), Universally Unique Identifier (UUID), Globally Unique Identifier (GUID), Namespace Identifier (NID), Bank Identification Number (BIN), Personal

Art Unit: 2453

Identification Number (PIN), Mod 10 Number, credit card number, Electronic Serial Number (ESN), Mobile Identification Number (MIN), Automatic Number Identification (ANI), Social Security Number (SSN), Employer Identification Number (EIN), Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN), Vehicle Identification Number (VIN), World manufacturer identifier (WMI), Manufacturer Identification Number (MIN), Market Identifier Code (MIC), Standard Industrial Classification (SIC), Standard Occupational Classification (SOC), Stock Keeping Unit number (SKU), International Business Entity Identifier (IBEI), Institution Identification Code (IIC), National Provider Identifier (NPI), Dunn and Bradstreet Number (DUNS), SEC file number, patent number, trademark number, serial number, charter number, policy number, certification number, document identifier, reference number, invoice number, transaction identifier, validation code, account number, merchant code, reseller code, affiliate code, authorization code, network identifier, user identifier, PCP key, digital certificate, driver license number, license plate number, trademark, service mark, tradename, fictitious name, company name, DBA, AKA, stock symbol, station identifier, broadcast station call letters, ham radio call letters, broadcast frequency number, street name, street address, ZIP code, IP address, host, e-mail address, ICQ number, nickname, screen name, username, alias, handle, document title, book title, song title, movie title, phrase, slogan, machine readable code, glyph, image, icon, animation, sequence of musical notes, date, time, name, abbreviation,

Art Unit: 2453

mnemonic, moniker, label and token (Barry; col 14 lines 5-10, col 111 lines 48-54 and col 112 lines 50-58).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

28. Regarding claim 15, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 15, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein said at least one data request

Art Unit: 2453

comprises a prefix request and said one or more identifiers comprise an identifier prefix and at least one identifier (Barry; col 82 lines 43-45 and col 83 lines 55-62).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

29. Regarding claim 16, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 15, but in a similar field of endeavor Barry disclose wherein said at least one identifier prefix comprises at least one of a Edit prefix for editing, Handle prefix for aliasing,

Art Unit: 2453

List prefix for listing, Status prefix for obtaining status, History prefix for listing a history, Watch prefix for adding to a watch list, Renew prefix for renewing, Transfer prefix for transferring, Escrow prefix for escrowing, Consolidate prefix for consolidating, Auction prefix for auctioning, Bid prefix for bidding, Value prefix for valuating, Buy prefix for buying, Sell prefix for selling, Lease prefix for leasing, Generate prefix for generating, WHOIS prefix for obtaining contact information, Expire prefix for determining an expiry date, Registrar prefix for listing a corresponding domain name registration provider, Tools prefix for accessing technical information, Redirect prefix for redirecting, Lock prefix for locking, Email prefix for accessing e-mail services, WebHost prefix for accessing hosting services, Incorporate prefix for accessing business formation services, Trademark prefix for accessing trademark information, Geo prefix for accessing location information, and Dial prefix for accessing dialing services from said at least one identifier (Barry; col 83 lines 55-62).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Barry's system that provides "a graphical user interface for enabling a user to interact with one or more telecommunications network

Art Unit: 2453

management services provided by remote servers located in a telecommunications services provider's Intranet" (Barry).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "to provide connectivity to enterprise legacy systems without need to navigate various telephone exchanges, dialing standards or signal standards" (Barry).

30. Claims 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Broadhurst, US Patent Number 6,560,634 B1, and in views of Hatakeyama et al., US Patent Number 5,454,105 A, hereinafter Hatakeyama, as applied to claims 17 and 18 above, and further in views of Damashek, US Patent Number 5,418,951.
31. Regarding claim 19, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 19, but in a similar field of endeavor Damashek discloses wherein said first data query comprises a content data string and said second data query is generated based on said content data string to select said at least one second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said content data string (Damashek; col 4 lines 63-67 and col 5 lines 1-5).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Damashek's system "to provide a method of retrieving documents, in a particular language, from a database by topic" (Damashek).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "creating an n-gram array for each document in a database, parsing an unidentified document or query into n-grams, and based on the similarity score, identifying retrieving, or sorting the document or query with-respect to language or topic" (Damashek).

32. Regarding claim 22, Broadhurst and Hatakeyama do not disclose claim 22, but in a similar field of endeavor Damashek discloses wherein said first data query comprises a content data string and said second data query is generated based on said content data string to select said at least one second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said content data string (string of characters) (Damashek; col 4 lines 63-67 and col 5 lines 1-5).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to readily recognize the advantage of modifying Broadhurst's and Hatakeyama's system that provides the user "an improved query server" (Broadhurst) that provides "searching techniques by performing a multitude to searches simultaneously, transparent to the user" (Broadhurst) with the features of Damashek's system "to provide a method of retrieving documents, in a particular language, from a database by topic" (Damashek).

The motivation being "a query for registered domain names in multiple countries" (Broadhurst) by removing "separate search requests to each domain" (Broadhurst) which includes "creating an n-gram array for each document in a database, parsing an unidentified document or query into n-grams, and based on the similarity score, identifying retrieving, or sorting the document or query with-respect to language or topic" (Damashek).

Response to Arguments

33. Applicant's amendment and argument with respect to claims 1, 17 and 18 as filed on February 10, 2010, have been fully considered but they deemed to be moot in views of the new grounds of rejection.
34. Applicant stated Li does not disclose "generating and performing a second data query derived from said one or more identifiers and from a second data request

Art Unit: 2453

type of said one or more data request types". Lie does not disclose "a second data request type of said one or more data request types". Li also does not disclose or suggest "wherein said second data query is automatically generated based on said first data query to select said second result having content associated with, but not identified by, said first data query".

Examiner's response is that the disputed feature is taught by the newly applied Hatakeyama reference in the particular combinations set forth above.

Conclusion

35. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims, the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR 1.111(c).
36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to O. Charlie Vostal whose telephone number is 571-270-3992. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 5:00pm EST Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 2453

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 571-272-6776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-4992.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. C. Vostal/
Examiner
Art unit 2453
April 27, 2010

/Philip J Chea/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2453